

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,820	BENTHAM, MARTIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Margaret Einsmann	1751	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Margaret Einsmann. (3) Edward McMahon.
 (2) Martin Bentham. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Samples of textiles dyed by process and samples of earlier production ..

Claim(s) discussed: 1-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: all of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 1-21 and 27 will be canceled. Applicant pointed to the basis for the language of claim 22 and discussed the references of record in the 103 rejection of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required